Received 12 June 2006

Accepted 21 July 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiao-Feng Li^a* and Zheng-Bo Han^b

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China, and ^bSchool of Chemical Science and Engineering, Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: ceslxf2006@yahoo.com.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.064 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Poly[[diaquatris(µ-benzene-1,4-dioxy-diacetato)dilanthanum(III)] dihydrate]

The title lanthanum coordination polymer, $\{[La_2(C_{10}H_8O_6)_3 (H_2O)_2] \cdot 2H_2O\}_n$, was synthesized by reacting lanthanum(III) chloride and the flexible ligand benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetic acid under hydrothermal conditions. Single-crystal X-ray studies reveal that self-assembly between the bridging ligands and metal ions results in a three-dimensional coordination polymer.

Comment

Benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate acid (H₂-pBDOA) is a potentially multidentate ligand with more flexibility than 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid and the latter can exhibit diverse coordination modes (Rosi *et al.*, 2003). Up to now, only a few simple *d*block metal complexes with pBDOA²⁻ have been reported (Gao *et al.*, 2005), and no lanthanide complexes have been mentioned. We expected that this dicarboxylate ligand would lead to more complicated structures with 4*f* metal ions because of their potential for high and variable coordination numbers (Wan *et al.*, 2003). We report here the self-assembly of the lanthanum(III) ion with the pBDOA²⁻ ligand.



In the title complex, (I), each La^{III} ion is coordinated by nine O atoms, eight from four pBDOA²⁻ ligands and one from a water molecule. The coordination environment around the La^{III} ion can be described as tricapped trigonal-prismatic with O-La-O bond angles ranging from 47.96 (6)° to 149.63 (7)°. A pair of La^{III} atoms are bridged by the two ligands in different coordination modes. The La···La distance is 4.1804 (4) Å (Fig. 1).

Along the *b* axis, the La^{III} units are bridged by the ligands to form a zigzag chain. Adjacent chains are further extended into a two-dimensional network *via* intercalation between the lateral ligands in a zipper-like fashion. In this zipper-like arrangement, the benzyl groups are arranged in an offset

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



Figure 1

The dinuclear unit of the polymeric complex, with displacement ellipsoids shown at the 30% probability level. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity and atom labels have been given suffixes with the following symmetry codes: (A) 1 + x, y, 1 + z; (B) -x, -y, 1 - z; (C) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (D) x, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$; (E) 1 - x, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, 2.5 - z.



Figure 2

Perspective view of the three-dimensional network in the complex. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

fashion with a face-to-face distance of 3.241 (5) Å, indicating strong $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions (Zhang *et al.*, 2003). Finally, these layers are cross-linked into a three-dimensional network through the bridging carboxylate groups (Fig. 2).

Experimental

A mixture of LaCl₃·6H₂O (0.5 mmol), H₂-pBDOA (0.75 mmol), NaOH (1.5 mmol) and H₂O (15 ml) was placed in a 23 ml Teflon reactor, which was heated at 433 K for seven days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5 K h⁻¹. Crystals were obtained after washing with water and drying in air.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.957 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 173 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.025$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$

Block, colorless

 $0.36 \times 0.27 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$

9349 measured reflections

3393 independent reflections

3160 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{La}_2(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{O}_6)_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\\ & M_r = 1022.38\\ & \text{Monoclinic, } P_{21}/c\\ & a = 12.1493 \ (\text{8}) \text{ Å}\\ & b = 16.9135 \ (11) \text{ Å}\\ & c = 8.9264 \ (6) \text{ Å}\\ & \beta = 108.918 \ (1)^\circ\\ & V = 1735.2 \ (2) \text{ Å}^3 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.465, T_{\max} = 0.614$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0342P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	+ 1.6475P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.065$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
3393 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.64 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
244 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.61 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

l able 1		
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O2^{i}$	0.85	2.24	2.716 (3)	115
$O1W-H1WB\cdots O5^{ii}$	0.85	2.08	2.926 (3)	180
$O2W - H2WA \cdots O1W^{i}$	0.85	2.33	3.179 (5)	180
$O2W-H2WB\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.85	2.50	3.240 (4)	146
Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y$	$-\frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii) :	x + 1, y, z + 1;	(iii) $x + 1, -y +$	$\frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}.$

°).

The carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions [methylene C–H = 0.97 Å, aromatic C–H = 0.93 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$] and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation. The water H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and were then refined as riding, with O–H = 0.85 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2004035597).

References

- Bruker (2001). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gao, S., Liu, J.-W., Huo, L.-H., Xu, Y.-M. & Zhao, H. (2005). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 8, 361–364.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Rosi, N. L., Eckert, J., Eddaoudi, M., Vodak, D. T., Kim, J., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2003). *Science*, **300**, 1127–1130.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wan, Y., Zhang, L., Jin, L., Gao, S. & Lu, S. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 4985–4994.
- Zhang, L.-Y., Liu, G.-F., Zheng, S.-L., Ye, B.-H., Zhang, X.-M. & Chen, X.-M. (2003). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2965–2971.